

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/611,913 | KAHN, CLIFFORD E. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Carl Colin | 2136 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Carl Colin.

(3) _____.

 (2) Paul Kriz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 9, 12-14, 19, 24, 27, 30-32, 45, 52, 53, 55-64, and 66-82.

Prior art documents discussed:

Calvignac et al '394

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above:


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Paul Kriz, and suggested cancelling some of the claims to place the application in better form for allowance. The Examiner also suggested incorporating some of the dependent claims into the independent claims to further clearly distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art and to place the application in a better form for allowance. The Examiner was authorized by Applicant's Representative to amend the claims as suggested by Examiner's Amendment.

Colin, Carl

From: Paul Kriz [Paul.Kriz@chapin-ip-law.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2006 5:07 PM
To: Colin, Carl
Subject: RE: Proposed Claims for patent app. serial number 09/611,913



EMC00-03(0
PROPOSED

Examiner Colin:

Here are the proposed claims relative to entry of the amendments in the reply to final office action.

Thanks, Paul

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul Kriz [mailto:Paul.Kriz@chapin-ip-law.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2006 4:24 PM
To: 'carl.colin@uspto.gov'
Subject: Proposed Claims for patent app. serial number 09/611,913

Applicant: Clifford E. Kahn
Serial No.: 09/611,913
For: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR CONTROLLING ACCESS TO A RESOURCE
Filing Date: July 7, 2000
Examiner: Carl G. Colin
Art Unit: 2136
Conf. No.: 7737

Dear Examiner Colin:

Thank you for spending time with me this afternoon to discuss the claims in the above-mentioned patent application.

The attached set of proposed claims includes the changes we discussed. Please let us know if you find any errors. In addition to the changes we discussed, we propose amending claim 19 to correct an antecedent basis issue that you mentioned in an earlier office action. We propose amending claim 59 so that it is consistent with the changes to amended claim 58.

Thanks for your help. Please let us know whether we can be of further assistance.

Regards, Paul

<< File: EMC00-03(00011) - PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENTS.doc >>

Paul P.Kriz, Esq.
Chapin Intellectual Property Law, LLC
Software, Computers, Electronics, Mechanics, Communications
Westborough Office Park
1700 West Park Drive
Westborough, MA 01581
P:508-616-9660
F:508-616-9661
www.Chapin-IP-Law.com

This message is a confidential communication intended only for the identified recipients, and it may be subject to attorney-client privilege or attorney work product protection. If you have received this message in error, please delete any copies and notify the sender.